| 1  |  |   |
|----|--|---|
| 2  |  |   |
| 3  |  |   |
| 4  |  |   |
| 5  |  |   |
| 6  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  |   |
| 7  | AT SEATTLE   |   |
| 8  | GABRIEL ECKARD,  |   |
| 9  | Plaintiff,   | Case No. C18-1258-RSM-JPD                       |
| 10 | v.   | ORDER DIRECTING SERVICE OF AMENDED CIVIL RIGHTS |
| 11 | DAVID WALTERS, et al.,   | COMPLAINT                                       |
| 12 | Defendants.  |   |
| 13 |  |   |
| 14 | Plaintiff is proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> in this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights |   |
| 15 | action. Plaintiff is currently confined at the Snohomish County Jail, but at the time of the events      |   |
| 16 | giving rise to this lawsuit, he was confined at the Monroe Correctional Complex in the Special           |   |
| 17 | Offenders Unit ("MCC-SOU"). The defendants are MCC-SOU employees.  |   |
| 18 | On September 28, 2018, the Court issued an order declining to serve plaintiff's complaint                |   |
| 19 | and granting him leave to file an amended complaint by October 29, 2018. (Dkt. 10.) The Court            |   |
| 20 | explained that all of plaintiff's claims were deficient except for his Fourth and Eighth                 |   |
| 21 | Amendment claims against Lieutenant David Walters, Sergeant Breeann Caraway, and Sergeant                |   |
| 22 | Myron Ayala, and his Eighth Amendment claim against Sergeant Scott Simon. The Court                      |   |
| 23 | notified plaintiff that if he did nothing by the October 29, 2018 deadline, the Court would deem         |   |
|    | ORDER DIRECTING SERVICE OF AMENDED CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT - 1  |   |

his deficient claims to have been voluntarily dismissed and would order service of his remaining claims. The Court also informed plaintiff that he could file an amended complaint.

On November 9, 2018, the Clerk's office received an amended complaint that realleged plaintiff's Fourth and Eighth Amendment claims against Lieutenant Walters, Sergeant Caraway, and Sergeant Ayala, and his Eighth Amendment claim against Sergeant Simon. Dkt. 12. The amended complaint, however, was not entered on the docket until the afternoon of November 13, 2018. *See id.* On the morning of November 13, 2018, the Court entered an order voluntarily dismissing plaintiff's deficient claims and directing service of the original complaint. Dkt. 11. Subsequently, defendants waived service and filed an answer to the original complaint. *See* Dkts. 13-16, 18-19, 21, 22.

It recently came to the Court's attention that defendants were never served with plaintiff's amended complaint. Accordingly, the Court finds and ORDERS:

## (1) <u>Service by Clerk</u>

The Clerk is directed to send the following to Lieutenant David Walters, Sergeant Breeann Caraway, Sergeant Myron Ayala, and Sergeant Scott Simon, all of whom are MCC-SOU employees, by e-mail: a copy of plaintiff's amended complaint, Dkt. 12, a copy of this Order, a copy of the notice of lawsuit and request for waiver of service of summons, and a waiver of service of summons.

#### (2) Response Required

Defendant(s) shall have **thirty** (**30**) **days** within which to return the enclosed waiver of service of summons. A defendant who timely returns the signed waiver shall have **sixty** (**60**) **days** after the date designated on the notice of lawsuit to file and serve an answer to the complaint or a motion permitted under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

A defendant who fails to timely return the signed waiver will be personally served with a summons and complaint, and may be required to pay the full costs of such service, pursuant to Rule 4(d)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. A defendant who has been personally served shall file an answer or motion permitted under Rule 12 within **thirty (30) days** after service.

### (3) Filing and Service by Parties, Generally

All attorneys admitted to practice before this Court are required to file documents electronically via the Court's CM/ECF system. Counsel are directed to the Court's website, www.wawd.uscourts.gov, for a detailed description of the requirements for filing via CM/ECF. All non-attorneys, such as *pro se* parties and/or prisoners, may continue to file a paper original with the Clerk. All filings, whether filed electronically or in traditional paper format, must indicate in the upper right hand corner the name of the magistrate judge to whom the document is directed.

For any party filing electronically, when the total of all pages of a filing exceeds fifty (50) pages in length, a paper copy of the document (with tabs or other organizing aids as necessary) shall be delivered to the Clerk's Office for chambers. The chambers copy must be clearly marked with the words "Courtesy Copy of Electronic Filing for Chambers."

Any document filed with the Court must be accompanied by proof that it has been served upon all parties that have entered a notice of appearance in the underlying matter.

#### (4) Motions, Generally

Any request for court action shall be set forth in a motion, properly filed and served.

Pursuant to LCR 7(b), any argument being offered in support of a motion shall be submitted as a part of the motion itself and not in a separate document. The motion shall include in its caption

(immediately below the title of the motion) a designation of the date the motion is to be noted for consideration upon the Court's motion calendar.

Stipulated and agreed motions, motions to file over-length motions or briefs, motions for reconsideration, joint submissions pursuant to the option procedure established in LCR 37(a)(2), motions for default, requests for the clerk to enter default judgment, and motions for the court to enter default judgment where the opposing party has not appeared shall be noted for consideration on the day they are filed. *See* LCR 7(d)(1). All other non-dispositive motions shall be noted for consideration no earlier than the third Friday following filing and service of the motion. *See* LCR 7(d)(3). All dispositive motions shall be noted for consideration no earlier than the fourth Friday following filing and service of the motion. *Id*.

For electronic filers, all briefs and affidavits in opposition to either a dispositive or non-dispositive motion shall be filed and served not later than 11:59 p.m. on the Monday immediately preceding the date designated for consideration of the motion. If a party (i.e. a *pro se* litigant and/or prisoner) files a paper original, that opposition must be received in the Clerk's office by 4:30 p.m. on the Monday preceding the date of consideration.

The party making the motion may file and serve, not later than 11:59 p.m. (if filing electronically) or 4:30 p.m. (if filing a paper original with the Clerk's office) on the date designated for consideration of the motion, a reply to the opposing party's briefs and affidavits.

# (5) <u>Motions to Dismiss and Motions for Summary Judgment</u>

Parties filing motions to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure and motions for summary judgment pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure should acquaint themselves with those rules. As noted above, these motions shall be

3

4

5

6

7

0

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

noted for consideration no earlier than the fourth Friday following filing and service of the motion.

Defendants filing motions to dismiss or motions for summary judge are advised that they MUST serve *Rand* and *Wyatt* notices concurrently with motions to dismiss and motions for summary judgment so that *pro se* prisoner plaintiffs will have fair, timely and adequate notice of what is required of them in order to oppose those motions. *Woods v. Carey*, 684 F.3d 934, 941 (9th Cir. 2012). The Ninth Circuit has set forth model language for such notices:

A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact – that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (emphasis added); see Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n.14 (9th Cir. 2003) (extending the fair notice requirement to motions to dismiss for failure to exhaust administrative remedies).

Defendants who fail to file and serve the required *Rand* and *Wyatt* notices on plaintiff may have their motion stricken from the Court's calendar with leave to re-file.